



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov
DNV 03-05

JOHN W. WUSTENBERG
P.O. BOX 1431
DUNCAN OK 73536

COPY MAILED
MAR 09 2005
OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of :
Connell et al. :
Application No. 10/631,288 : DECISION ON PETITION
Filed: 31 July, 2003 : UNDER 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3)
Atty Docket No. 2001-IP-005011U1C1 :

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3), filed on 30 July, 2004, to accept an unintentionally delayed claim under 35 U.S.C. § 120 for the benefit of priority to the prior-filed nonprovisional application set forth in the amendment filed concurrently with the instant petition.

The petition is **DISMISSED AS MOOT**.

A petition for acceptance of a claim for late priority under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3) is only applicable to those applications filed on or after November 29, 2000.

Along with the instant petition under 37 CFR § 1.78(a)(3), petitioner has submitted an amendment to the first sentence of the specification following the title to include a reference to the prior-filed application.

The instant pending nonprovisional application was filed on 31 July, 2003, and was pending at the time of filing of the instant petition. While a reference to the prior-filed application was not included in an ADS or in the first sentence of the specification following the title, reference nevertheless was made in the transmittal letter filed with the above-identified application.

The current procedure where a claim for priority under 37 CFR § 1.78(a)(3) is not included in the first sentence of the

specification or in an ADS but does appear either in the oath or declaration or a transmittal letter filed with the application and the Office notes the claim for priority, no petition will be required to accept a late claim for priority. This is because the application would have been scheduled for publication on the basis of the information concerning the claim submitted elsewhere in the application within the time period set forth in 37 CFR § 1.78(a)(2)(ii). However, on the other hand, if the USPTO does not note the claim for priority to the prior-filed application(s) set forth in the oath or declaration or transmittal letter submitted with the application, a petition will be required to accept a late claim for priority under 37 CFR § 1.78(a)(3).¹ In the instant case, the Office noted the claim for priority of the prior-filed application in the transmittal letter filed with the application, as shown by its inclusion on the filing receipt. In view of the above, the \$1,330.00 petition fee submitted is unnecessary and will be refunded to petitioner's deposit account in due course.

Any inquiries concerning this decision may be directed to Senior Petitions Attorney Douglas I. Wood at (571) 272-3231. All other inquiries concerning either the examination procedures or status of the application should be directed to the Technology Center.

This matter is being referred to the Publishing Division for appropriate action.



Frances M. Hicks
Lead Paralegal
Office of Petitions
Office of the Deputy Commissioner
for Patent Examination Policy

¹ Note MPEP 201.11 (III)(D), pages 200-59 and 200-60 (Rev. 2. May 2004) and 66 Federal Register 67087 at 67089 (Dec. 28, 2001), effective December 28, 2001.